



Network for Information and Digital Access

The impact of Science Literacy delivery methods - what works?

Bibliography

Mobile Laboratories | Group 4. Activities and services

Ver. 2.00

Date: May 2019

Introduction

This thematic bibliography is the result of research to survey existing literature available on Science Literacy delivery methods.

The search was carried out by retrieving documents and articles from a wide range of sources, including research databases, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, subject databases, open access repositories etc. using keyword combinations.

The results of the resource discovery are divided into two groups: one containing impact assessments using qualitative, quantitative or mixed method (both qualitative and quantitative) approaches to data collection and a second including descriptive resources, which encompass, for example, reviews, guides, handbooks, reports and project reports.

This bibliography is work in progress and is not designed to be fully exhaustive or complete. We will be pleased to receive suggestions and recommendations for additions that can contribute to the understanding of science, its applications and, to the promotion of science literacy.

Groups and methods list

During the first part of the Desk Research phase of this project (i.e. Task 1), the team identified 42 single-mechanism approaches, 2 composite approaches and 1 related approach that were relevant to the delivery and dissemination of scientific information. The list of single mechanisms was further organised into 7 thematic groups, as presented in the following Table.

Single mechanism approach	Group
Exhibitions, Expo, Festivals, Movies, Picnics, Science fairs, Seminars, Talks, TED Talks, Theatre, Workshops	1. Events, meetings, performances
Colloquia, Courses, Curricula, E-learning, Webinars	2. Education and training – including online
Animations, Books, Brochures, Cartoons, Comics, Games, Graphics, Posters, Publications, Radio, Reports, TV, Videos	3. Traditional publishing and journalism – print and broadcast
Competitions, Experiments, Makerspaces, Mobile classrooms, Mobile laboratories	4. Activities and services
Blogs, E-books, E-zines, Mobile Apps, Podcasts, Social media, Websites, Wikis	5. Online interactions
Composite approaches	
Multiliteracies	
Multimodalities	
Related approach	
Citizen Science	

Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Impact Assessment

- Barmby, Patrick, Per M. Kind, and Karen Jones. 2008. "Examining Changing Attitudes in Secondary School Science." *International Journal of Science Education* 30 (8): 1075–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690701344966>.
- Cors, Rebecca. 2016. "Informal Science Learning: An Investigation of How Novelty and Motivation Affect Interest Development at a Mobile Laboratory." PhD thesis, Switzerland: University of Genève. <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:91515>.
- Cors, Rebecca, Andreas Müller, and Nicolas Robin. 2015. "Advancing Informal MINT Learning: Preparation and Novelty at a Mobile Laboratory." PowerPoint presented at the Müller, Florence, Italy. <https://conference.pixel-online.net/NPSE/files/npse/ed0004/PPT/1353-ESM825-PPT-NPSE4.pdf>.
- Davies, Linda, Roger Fradera, Hauke Riesch, and Poppy Lakeman-Fraser. 2016. "Surveying the Citizen Science Landscape: An Exploration of the Design, Delivery and Impact of Citizen Science through the Lens of the Open Air Laboratories (OPAL) Programme." *BMC Ecology* 16 (S1): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-016-0066-z>.
- Erol, Mustafa, U Boyuk, Recep Sahingoz, Timothy Harrison, and Manuel Costa. 2012. "Developing Experiment-Based Science Teaching Skills: A Lifelong Learning Opportunity for Teachers in a Rural Area of Turkey." *Energy Education Science and Technology Part B: Social and Educational Studies* 4 (4): 2327–38. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266461790_Developing_experiment-based_science_teaching_skills_A_lifelong_learning_opportunity_for_teachers_in_a_rural_area_of_Turkey.
- Erol, Mustafa, Ugur Buyuk, and Nagihan Tanik Onal. 2016. "Rural Turkish Students' Reactions to Learning Science in a Mobile Laboratory." *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice* 16 (1): 261–77. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1101180>.
- Franzblau, Carl, Carla A. Romney, Russell Faux, and Donald DeRosa. 2011. "Mobile Laboratory Programs as Vehicles to Promote STEM Education in K-12 and Beyond." In *2011 Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, T3D-1-T3D-6. Rapid City, SD, USA: IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2011.6142774>.
- Halme, Dina Gould, Julia Khodor, Rudolph Mitchell, and Graham C. Walker. 2006. "A Small-Scale Concept-Based Laboratory Component: The Best of Both Worlds." *CBE—Life Sciences Education* 5 (1): 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.05-02-0065>.
- Jones, Amanda L., and Mary K. Stapleton. 2017. "Correction: 1.2 Million Kids and Counting—Mobile Science Laboratories Drive Student Interest in STEM." *PLOS Biology* 15 (7): e1002609. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002609>.
- Kenar, Ismail. 2014. "The Effect of Micro Mobile Science Laboratory Set on Teachers' Views towards Physics Experiments." *The Anthropologist* 17 (1): 101–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720073.2014.11891419>.
- Long, Gary L., Carol A. Bailey, Barbara B. Bunn, Carla Slebodnick, Michael R. Johnson, and Shad Derozier. 2012. "Chemistry Outreach Project to High Schools Using a Mobile Chemistry Laboratory, ChemKits, and Teacher Workshops." *Journal of Chemical Education* 89 (10): 1249–58. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200185e>.
- López-Garriga, Juan, Yldefonso Muñoz-Sola, Yolanda Echevarría, and William Nazario. 1997. "Science on Wheels: A Coherent Link Between Educational Perspectives." *Journal of Chemical Education* 74 (11): 1346. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed074p1346>.
- Olenik, Christina, and Kathleen Dowell. 2016. "Evaluating Mobile Science Education Programs for Youth: Best Practices & Lessons Learned." PowerPoint, USA. <https://slideplayer.com/slide/10982787/>.
- Van Mil, Marc H.W., Dirk Jan Boerwinkel, Jacobine E. Buizer-Voskamp, Annelies Speksnijder, and Arend Jan Waarlo. 2010. "Genomics Education in Practice: Evaluation of a Mobile Lab Design." *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* 38 (4): 224–29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20397>.

Descriptive Resources

- “America’s Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science (2006) - Chapter: 6 Facilities, Equipment, and Safety.” 2006. In *America’s Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science*, 168–92. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/11311>.
- Cotfas, P. A., D. T. Cotfas, and C. Samoila. 2013. “Mobile Virtual Laboratory for Renewable Energy.” In , 1–4. Sydney, NSW: IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/REV.2013.6502896>.
- Mckay, Christian, and Kylie A. Peppler. 2013. “MakerCart: A Mobile Fab Lab for the Classroom.” In , 4. New York, USA. http://kpeppler.com/Docs/2013_Peppler_Maker_Cart.pdf.
- Mikelloydttech. 2011. “Taking the First Steps – CLWB.Org.” CLWB. June 10, 2011. <https://clwb.org/2011/06/10/taking-the-first-steps/>.
- Morel, Laure, Laurent Dupont, and Pascal Lhoste. 2015. “When Innovation Supported by Fab Labs Becomes a Tool for Territorial Economic Development: Example of the First Mobile Fab Lab in France.” In *The 24th International Conference for the International Association of Management of Technology*, 17. Cape town, South Africa: Centre pour la communication scientifique directe. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01333488>.
- Norberto Rocha, Jessica, and Martha Marandino. 2017. “Mobile Science Museums and Centres and Their History in the Public Communication of Science.” *Journal of Science Communication* 16 (03): 24. <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.16030204>.
- Spuck, Karen M. 2015. “Building an Understanding: What Motivates Teachers to Use Science in Motion.” PhD thesis, Morgantown, West Virginia: West Virginia University. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/?#abs/2015PhDT.....168S>.