

The impact of Science Literacy delivery methods - what works?

Bibliography

Experiments | Group 4. Activities and services

Ver. 2.00

Date: May 2019

## Introduction

This thematic bibliography is the result of research to survey existing literature available on Science Literacy delivery methods.

The search was carried out by retrieving documents and articles from a wide range of sources, including research databases, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, subject databases, open access repositories etc. using keyword combinations.

The results of the resource discovery are divided into two groups: one containing impact assessments using qualitative, quantitative or mixed method (both qualitative and quantitative) approaches to data collection and a second including descriptive resources, which encompass, for example, reviews, guides, handbooks, reports and project reports.

This bibliography is work in progress and is not designed to be fully exhaustive or complete. We will be pleased to receive suggestions and recommendations for additions that can contribute to the understanding of science, its applications and, to the promotion of science literacy.

## Groups and methods list

During the first part of the Desk Research phase of this project (i.e. Task 1), the team identified 42 single-mechanism approaches, 2 composite approaches and 1 related approach that were relevant to the delivery and dissemination of scientific information. The list of single mechanisms was further organised into 7 thematic groups, as presented in the following Table.

Group
1. Events, meetings, performances
2. Education and training – including online
<ol> <li>Traditional publishing and journalism         <ul> <li>print and broadcast</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
4. Activities and services
5. Online interactions

Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

## Impact Assessment

- Carey, Susan, Risa Evans, Maya Honda, Eileen Jay, and Christopher Unger. 1989. "An Experiment Is When You Try It and See If It Works': A Study of Grade 7 Students' Understanding of the Construction of Scientific Knowledge." International Journal of Science Education 11 (5): 514–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069890110504</u>.
- Gucluer, Efe, and Teoman Kesercioglu. 2012. "The Effect of Using Activities Improving Scientific Literacy on Students' Achievement in Science and Technology Lesson." International Online Journal of Primary Education 1 (1): 8–13. <u>https://eric.ed.gov/?q=The+effect+of+using+activities+improving+scientific+literacy+on+students%27</u> +achievement+in+science+and+technology+lesson&id=ED534087.
- Kostelníková, Michaela, and Miroslava Ožvoldová. 2013. "Remote Experiments in Primary School Science Education." *International Journal of Online Engineering* 9 (5): 45–51. <u>http://online-journals.org/index.php/i-joe/article/view/2730</u>.
- Mitra, Sugata. 2005. "Self Organising Systems for Mass Computer Literacy: Findings from the 'Hole in the Wall' Experiments." *International Journal of Development Issues* 4 (1): 71–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/eb045849</u>.
- Morgi, Inci, Hatice Güngör Seyhan, and Senar Temel. 2007. "Inquiry Based Experiment Suggestions and Their Effect on Student Success and Gains in Chemistry Applications." In , 175–79. Prague. <u>http://web.natur.cuni.cz/eurovariety/Proceedings\_EuroVariety\_Prague\_2007.pdf</u>.
- Shultz, Ginger V., and Ye Li. 2016. "Student Development of Information Literacy Skills during Problem-Based Organic Chemistry Laboratory Experiments." *Journal of Chemical Education* 93 (3): 413–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00523</u>.

## **Descriptive Resources**

- Brinson, James R. 2015. "Learning Outcome Achievement in Non-Traditional (Virtual and Remote) versus Traditional (Hands-on) Laboratories: A Review of the Empirical Research." *Computers & Education* 87 (September): 218–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.07.003</u>.
- Cobb, Paul, Qing Zhao, and Chrystal Dean. 2009. "Conducting Design Experiments to Support Teachers' Learning: A Reflection from the Field." *The Journal of the Learning Sciences* 18 (2): 165–99. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/27736760</u>.
- Gröber, Sebastian, Martin Vetter, Bodo Eckert, and Hans-Jörg Jodl. 2007. "Experimenting from a Distance— Remotely Controlled Laboratory (RCL)." *European Journal of Physics* 28 (3): S127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/0143-0807/28/3/S12</u>.
- Hammann, Marcus, Thi Thanh Hol Phan, Malke Ehmer, and Tobias Grimm. 2008. "Assessing Pupils' Skills in Experimentation." *Journal of Biological Education* 42 (2): 66–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2008.9656113</u>.
- NAP.edu. 2006. "Laboratory Experiences and Student Learning." In *America's Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science*, 75–115. The National Academies Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/11311</u>.
- Northern Nevada Science Teachers. 2013. Northern Nevada Science Teachers Present: Climate Change Activities for the Classroom. Reno, Nevada: University of Nevada, Reno. <u>http://sensor.nevada.edu/Static/Documents/Education/Washoe%20Activities/Reference/EPSCoR%20</u> <u>GCC%20Activity%20Manual.rev6-17.pdf</u>.